IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1003 of 1980
with
CIVIL APPLICATION No.3209 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

PATEL DAHYABHAI MAGANLAL DECD,THRO:HIS HEIRS & L.R.

Versus

RAGHUNADAN SUNDERLAL RAWAL DECD, THRO:HIS HEIRS & L.R.

Appearance:
1. Civil Revision Application No. 1003 of 1980
MR PV NANAVATI for Petitioners No. 1-1/1
..... for Respondent No. 1
DS AFF.NOT FILED (N) for Respondents No. 1/1
NOTICE UNSERVED for Respondents No. 1/1-1/6-1/6

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 05/02/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

It appears that the petitioner, by order dated 24-7-2000, was directed to effect direct service on the respondents. The learned Counsel for the petitioner produces a copy of the affidavit dated 01-08-2000 of the person, who went to effect direct service. He has stated in his affidavit that the respondents are not residing there since the premises have been converted into the other building. In the facts and circumstances, the Revision Application as well as the Civil Application have become infructuous and are accordingly disposed of. Rule discharged. Interim relief stands vacated.

05-02-2002 (M. C. Patel, J.)

vinod